This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method for the analysis of the analyzing access to a data

communication network by a user (LAN), characterised by the fact that it comprises comprising: the

operations of

tracing (A1) the traffic of said user (LAN) via a computer, and identifying a group of

networks with which said traffic is mainly handled, by defining (100) relative autonomous systems

(AS) and tracing the sequence of autonomous systems crossed by said traffic; the tracing operation

of said sequence including:

- a first stage-(B1), to provide the <u>a</u> list (102) of the paths of autonomous systems crossed by

said traffic to reach each destination, and

- a second stage—(B2), to aggregately elaborate said list of paths, outputting a tree

representing all the paths of the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic of said user (LAN) to

reach all corresponding destinations each destination.

wherein said second stage comprises providing, in relation to the list of said autonomous

systems crossed by said traffic of said user, at least one parameter including a percentage of use of

the autonomous systems.

2. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that it

comprises the operation of comprising determining the routing of said traffic on the branches of said

tree, and the operation of associating the respective indicative values of the traffic that crosses the

branch-to-the branches of said tree.

3. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1 or claim 2, characterised by the fact

that it comprises the operation of comprising using hardware probes to trace the traffic of said user.

Page 2 of 16

Response dated June 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 1, 2009

4. (Currently Amended). Procedure A method as per claim 3, characterised by the fact that it

comprises the operation of comprising configuring said hardware probes to provide information

selected infrom the a group consisting of including: band use of the an individual link, data volume,

protocol-subdivision, IP address-subdivision, traffic matrix between the user (LAN) and the

network.

5. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 3 or claim 4, characterised by the fact

that it comprises the operation of comprising configuring said hardware probes to determine at least

one selected item selected in from the a group consisting of including: sites most frequently visited by

the user, main networks to which the user addresses its-traffic, and the origin of who connects up to

said user.

6. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1 or claim 2, characterised by the fact

that it comprises the operation of comprising setting software agents on the data communication

network access routers to trace said user traffic.

7. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 6, characterised by the fact that it

comprises the operation of comprising configuring said software agents to trace the traffic through

the interface of the router of said user to determine the main traffic lines.

8. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 6, characterised by the fact that it

comprises the operation of comprising configuring said software agents to analyse analyze the

operating status of the respective router in terms of CPU load and available memory.

9. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 6, characterised by the fact that it

comprises the operation of comprising providing a target machine for the transfer of the statistics

obtained by said routers.

Page 3 of 16

Response dated June 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 1, 2009

10. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that it comprises the operation of comprising generating, as the result of said traffic tracing operation of said user, at least one parameter selected from the a group consisting of including: destination

networks of said traffic, percentage of traffic involved, and pertinent autonomous system.

11. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that wherein

said first stage (B1) comprises the operations of inputting a file containing the IP addresses

representing the sites most frequently visited by said user and performing a traceroute function for

each destination site, by tracing the path to reach this each destination site.

12. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 11, characterised by the fact that it

comprises the operation of comprising tracing said path as a sequence of autonomous systems (AS)

crossed.

13. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 11, characterised by the fact that wherein

in said first stage said tracing operations are carried out repeatedly with a given frequency.

14. (Currently Amended) Procedure-A method as per claim 13, characterised by the fact that wherein

said frequency ean-is configured to be determined and selected.

15. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that wherein

said second stage (B2) comprises the operation of generating a unique tree of paths of the

autonomous systems crossed by the traffic of said user to reach all the destinations, the wherein

leaves of said tree being are indicative of the destination subnetworks of the traffic of said user.

16. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that wherein

said second stage (B2) comprises the operation of providing, in relation to the list of said

autonomous systems crossed by said traffic of said user, the provided at least one parameter from: the

Page 4 of 16

Response dated June 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 1, 2009

percentage of use of the autonomous system, further includes at least one of a time value for passing

through said autonomous systems and a hops value inside the autonomous system.

17. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 16, characterised by the fact that wherein

at least one and preferable all-said data provided at least one parameter are is expressed as an

average value.

18. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1-or-claim 11, characterized by the fact

that wherein said first stage (B1)-comprises the operation of invoking for each IP address generated

via said traceroute trace function, a remote service to obtain at least one item of the information

included in the from a group consisting of including: name of the autonomous system to which the

generated IP address belongs and the number of the autonomous system to which said generated IP

address belongs.

19. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 18, characterised by the fact that wherein

said remote service is the whois service of the databases RIBE, ARIN, APNIC.

20. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that wherein

said first stage (B1) comprises the operation of generating a data file (103) comprising including

information selected from the a group consisting of including:

- order number of the autonomous system following the sequence of IP addresses provided

by said traceroute trace function,

- text name of the autonomous system,

- identification number of the autonomous system,

- number of hops that a single tracing command has measured inside the autonomous system,

and

- time of permanence in the autonomous system measured by a single tracing command.

Page 5 of 16 .

Response dated June 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 1, 2009

21. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1 or claim 11, characterized by the fact

that it comprises the operation of comprising performing a plurality of said tracing functions in

parallel during said first stage.

22. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that wherein

said second stage (B2) comprises the operation of storing information of correspondence between IP

addresses and the data relating to the pertinent autonomous systems.

23. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that wherein

said second stage (B2) comprises the operation of generating the leaves of said tree as an

identification of the destination subnetworks of the traffic of said user and the relative branches as

identifications of the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic.

24. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 1, characterised by the fact that wherein

said second stage (B2)-is performed in association with a central memory with a data structure that

represents the paths generated in said first stage in the form of at least one aggregated list.

25. (Currently Amended) Procedure A method as per claim 24, characterised by the fact that

thewherein said at least one aggregated list is identified as representing a variable number of

autonomous system lists that share a common maximum prefix.

26. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus for the analysis of the analyzing access to a data

communication network by a user-(LAN), comprising:

a processor; and

memory storing software code that, when executed by the processor, performs: characterised

by the fact that the system is configured to

trace (A1) the <u>tracing</u> traffic of said user (LAN);

Page 6 of 16

and identifying a group of networks with which this the traffic is mainly involved, by identifying (100) relative autonomous systems (AS) and tracing the sequence of autonomous systems crossed by said traffic; to trace said system the system including a first module (B1) that

provides the providing a list (102) of paths of autonomous systems crossed by said traffic to reach each destination, and:

a second module (B2) to aggregately elaborate elaborating said list of paths by outputting a tree representing all the paths of the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic of said user (LAN) to reach all relative destinations each destination; and

providing, in relation to the list of said autonomous systems crossed by said traffic of said user, at least one parameter including a percentage of use of the autonomous systems.

- 27. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 26, characterised by the fact that wherein the system apparatus is configured to measure the routing of said traffic on the branches of said tree and associate respective indicative values of the traffic crossing the branches to the branches of said tree.
- 28. (Currently Amended) System-An apparatus as per claim 26 or claim 27, characterized by the fact that it comprises comprising hardware probes to trace the traffic of said user.
- 29. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 28, characterised by the fact that wherein said hardware probes are configured to supply information selected in the group consisting of from a group including: use of single link band, data volume, protocol-subdivision, IP address-subdivision, traffic matrix between the user (LAN) and the network.
- 30. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 28 or claim 29, characterized by the fact that wherein said hardware probes are configured to determine at least one item selected in the

Response dated June 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 1, 2009

group consisting of from a group including: sites most frequently visited by the user, main networks addressed by the user traffic, and origin of those who connect up to said user.

31. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 26 or claim 27, characterized by the fact that it comprises comprising software agents on the a data communication network access router to trace the said traffic of the user.

32. (Currently Amended) System—An apparatus as per claim 31, characterised by the fact that wherein said software agents are configured to trace the traffic through the interface of the router of said user by determining the main traffic lines.

33. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 31, characterised by the fact that wherein said software agents are configured to perform an analysis on the operating status of the respective router in terms of CPU load and available memory.

34. (Currently Amended) System-An apparatus as per claim 31, characterised by the fact that it comprises comprising a target machine for the transfer of the receiving statistics obtained by said routers.

35. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 26, characterised by the fact that itwherein the apparatus is configured to generate as the a result of said tracing operation of the traffic of said user at least one of the parameters parameter selected from the group consisting of a group including: destination networks of said traffic, percentage of traffic involved, pertinent autonomous system.

36. (Currently Amended) System—An apparatus as per claim 26, characterised by the fact that wherein said module (A1) is apparatus is configured to input a file containing the IP addresses representing the destination sites most frequently visited by said user and to perform a tracing

Response dated June 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 1, 2009

operation (traceroute) to this destination for each destination site, by tracing the path to reach this each destination site.

37. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 36, characterised by the fact that wherein said first module apparatus is configured to trace said path as a sequence of autonomous systems (AS) that are crossed.

38. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 36, characterised by the fact that wherein said first module apparatus is configured to repeatedly perform said tracing operations with a given frequency.

39. (Currently Amended) System—An apparatus as per claim 38, characterised by the fact that wherein said first module apparatus is configured so that said frequency can be determined and selected.

40. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 26, characterised by the fact that wherein said second module (B2) apparatus is configured to output a unique tree of autonomous systems paths crossed by the traffic of said user to reach all the destinations, the and wherein leaves of said tree being are indicative of the destination subnetworks of the traffic of said user.

41. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 26, characterised by the fact that wherein said second module (B2) is configured to provide, in relation to the list of said autonomous systems crossed by said traffic of said user, the provided at least one parameter from: the percentage of use of the autonomous system, further includes at least one of a value of time of permanence inside said autonomous systems and a value of hops inside said autonomous systems.

Appln. No.: 10/527,333 Response dated June 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 1, 2009

42. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 41, characterised by the fact that wherein the at least one and preferably all said data provided at least one parameter are is expressed as an average value.

43. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 26-or claim 36, characterized by the fact that wherein said first module (B1)apparatus is configured to invoke for each IP address generated via said tracing function (traceroute), a remote service to obtain at least one of the following piecespiece of information included in the group consisting of from a group including: name of the autonomous system to which the generated IP address belongs and number of the autonomous system to which the aforesaid-generated IP address belongs.

44. (Currently Amended) System—An apparatus as per claim 43, characterised by the fact that wherein said remote service is the whois service of the databases RIBE, ARIN, APNIC.

45. (Currently Amended) System—An apparatus as per claim 26, characterised by the fact that wherein said first module (B1) apparatus outputs a data file (103) including information selected in the group consisting of from a group including:

- order number of the autonomous system following the sequence of the IP addresses provided by said tracing function,

- text name of the autonomous system,
- identification number of the autonomous system,
- number of hops that a single tracing command has measured inside the autonomous system, and
 - time of permanence in the autonomous system measured by a single tracing command.

46. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 26 or claim 36, characterized by the fact that wherein said first module apparatus is configured to perform in parallel a plurality of said tracing functions.

Response dated June 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 1, 2009

47. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 26, characterised by the fact

thatwherein said second module (B2)apparatus contains a cache memory to store information of

correspondence between IP addresses and data relating to the pertinent autonomous systems.

48. (Currently Amended) System-An apparatus as per claim 26, characterised by the fact

thatwherein said second module apparatus is configured so that the leaves of said tree are the

destination subnetworks of the traffic of said user and the relative branches of said tree are the

autonomous systems crossed by the traffic.

49. (Currently Amended) System An apparatus as per claim 26, characterised by the fact

that wherein said second module (B1) apparatus is associated to one central memory with a data

structure that represents the paths generated by said first-module apparatus in the form of at least one

aggregated list.

50. (Currently Amended) System-An apparatus as per claim 49, characterised by the fact that

thewherein said at least one aggregated list is identified as representing a variable number of

autonomous system lists (VAS) that share a common maximum prefix.

51. (Currently Amended) Computer program product A memory having stored thereon directly

loadable in a numerical processor internal memory and including parts of software code to

implement the procedure as per any one of the claims 1 to 25that, when the product is run

onexecuted a processor, performs:

tracing traffic associated with a user of a data communication network:

identifying a group of networks with which said traffic is mainly handled; and

defining relative autonomous systems and tracing the sequence of autonomous systems

crossed by said traffic,

wherein the tracing includes:

Page 11 of 16

Appln. No.: 10/527,333 Response dated June 22, 2009

Reply to Office Action of April 1, 2009

- a first stage, to provide a list of paths of autonomous systems crossed by said traffic to reach each destination, and

- a second stage, to aggregately elaborate said list of paths, outputting a tree representing all the paths of the autonomous systems crossed by the traffic of said user to reach each destination,

wherein said second stage comprises providing, in relation to the list of said autonomous systems crossed by said traffic of said user, at least one parameter including the percentage of use of the autonomous systems.